

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/341,347 07/08/1999		GURMINDER SINGH	U.012304-4 5018	
7:	590 11/24/2004		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET			HUYNH, CONG LAC T	
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1, 3					
:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/341,347	SINGH ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
i.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-16,18-31,33-48,50-58,60-68 and 70-84</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		STEPHENS. HONG			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendement of claim 69 in the amendment after final filed 8/24/04 is contradicted to the statement in the amendment filed 8/29/03 that claim 69 was canceled. The improper existence of claim 69 in the claim list was reminded in the final action

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Beside the improper existence of claim 69 mentioned above, Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is no disclosure or suggestion anywhere found in LaJoie that would support that "pertains" discloses a content-link for content-driven presentation as now claimed in amended claim 1. Examiner respecfully disagrees. LaJoie discloses that "the screen 90 may be displayed when the viewer tunes in a conventional manner to television programs for which there is information contained in the event database ... When the viewer tunes to such a program, the CPU 36 (FIG.2) automatically causes the event databased banner 94 to be generated with information from the event database that pertains to the television program to which the viewer tuned" (col 10, lines 44-54). Since the information for generating the banner is the content of the banner where said information pertains to, that means relates directly to, the television program, and the television program having the information is a form of a content-driven presentation of information, it is clear that generating the event database banner with said information pertaining to the television program shows a content-linking for the content-driven presentation.